

**Community Strengths**

- Parks (6 votes)
- Businesses (4 votes)
- Diversity (8 votes)
- Welcoming (2 votes)
- Housing Diversity (6 votes)
- Connectivity (1 vote)
- Retail Options
- Specialty Stores (4 votes)
- Sense of Community (1 vote)

**Civil Engagement/Public Facilities**

Note: Problems and solutions were split between E1 (Civil Engagement) and E2 (Public Facilities)

Problem E1 (suggested by PER staff): Few neighborhoods represented by a neighborhood association (West of Watt Ave)

Problem E1: Having more representative populations involved. (1 vote)

Problem E1: Lack of regular/ongoing community engagement and services.

Problem E1: People only engage when they're complaining (1 vote).

Problem E1: Lack of door to door community engagement.

Problem E1: There are not enough services that are provided during engagement. And specifically services that are relevant to the community.

- Solution E1 (suggested by PER staff): Encourage neighborhoods to establish neighborhood associations.
- Solution E1 (suggested by PER staff): County will create a comprehensive Community Outreach Strategy.
- Solution E1: Gathering Hub that keeps a space for difference organizations/groups/community members to work together and leverage relationships; for funding opportunities. (3 votes)
- Solution E1: Continuous gatherings. Ongoing groups.
- Solution E1: Active transportation funding. Cap and Trade funding. (1 vote)
- Solution E1: Churches/nonprofits.
- Solution E1: More community clean up days.
- Solution E1: More neighbor support days. Seniors/disabilities/homeless.
- Solution E1: Development of community culture & responsibility & ownership. (4 votes)
- Solution E1: Develop more proactive positive outreach. More opportunities to participate before problems arise.
- Solution E1: Make it fun. (1 vote)
- Solution E1: Having planners spend more time in the communities.
- Solution E1: Improve 311 and provide 311 education (introduction to app)
- Solution E1: Partner with existing County services to leverage resources for outreach. (1 vote)
- Solution E1: Ask the communities what the solutions look like.

Problem E2: School board, Parks and Rec, Community Groups – difficulty connecting and coordinating; missed opportunity; more interagency collaboration.

Problem E2: Loss of access to some parks.

Problem E2: No access to public restrooms. (2 votes)

- Solution E2: Partner with waste management on illegal dumping (funding opportunity) (1 vote)
  - Provide community service to encourage community engagement.

## **Housing**

Problem C1 (suggested by PER staff): High rate of housing cost burdened households (spend more than 30% of income toward housing expenses). (1 vote)

Solution C1 (suggested by PER staff): Consider making changes to County Affordable Housing Program (5 votes).

Problem C2: lack of housing (affordable, rental and owner) (8 votes)

Solution C2: incentives for housing (fees, funding) (4 votes)

Problem C3: Cost of rentals.

Solution C3: Rent control. (2 votes)

Problem C4: Poor “bad” conditions of apartments and rentals. (3 votes)

Solution C4: Code enforcement (housing conditions, fair housing) (2 votes)

Problem C5: Homelessness increasing. (3 votes)

Solution C5: Infill development incentives (without gentrification). (7 votes)

Problem C6: Evictions

Solution C6: Partner with outside entities to target neighborhoods (trees, house repair, clean-up) like NeighborWorks, Habitat for Humanity etc.

Problem C7: Emergency housing

Solution C7: Weatherization programs and partnerships (SMUD and CRP)

\*advertising, education, and collaboration\*.

Problem C8: Lack of diversity within neighborhoods.

Solution C8: Place affordable housing in better, more expensive neighborhoods to create mixed income neighborhoods. (1 vote).

Problem C9: Lack of amenities correlates to housing issues (infrastructure connectivity) (2 votes)

Solution C9: Database to collect health data to see neighborhoods “hot spots” and partner for solutions.

Problem C10: Placing housing in areas with higher air pollutants.

Solution C10: More education and partners regarding fair housing (Renters Helpline).

Problem C11: Safety issues with older homes (lead, asbestos, mold). (1 vote)

Problem C12: Landlords won't take Section 8 vouchers.

Problem C13: Overcrowding.

## **Food Access**

Note: Problems and solutions beyond B2 were not numbered or organized together.

Problem B1 (suggested by PER staff): West of Watt Ave. – Highest food insecurity rates (limited ability to get nutritious foods) in the County.

Solution B1 (suggested by PER staff): Develop a Countywide Food Action Plan – support equitable and healthy food system.

Solution B1 (suggested by PER staff): Require new convenience stores to have 15% of shelf space reserved for healthy foods.

Problem B2: Expense of healthy foods

Solution B2: Increase EBT access at stores.

Problems:

- Food desert – no grocery stores (5 votes)
- Lots of need for food distribution with food bank
- SB 1383 implementation (food waste redirection)
- Lack of accountability for policies passed (2 votes)
- Economic Development.

Solutions:

- Engaging with schools to improve attitudes about healthy foods
- Community based organizations interacting with youth about farms and gardens and foods (1 vote).
- Incentivize farmers markets (1 vote)
- Partnerships between County and schools for farmers markets.
- Along Marconi, to railroad trucks lack of food access/grocery
  - Low income neighborhood, no access
  - Indicated by River City Food Bank distributions ~900 people at Saint Matthews Episcopal Church
- Incentivize new grocery stores – not convenience stores (1 vote)
  - Issues with land cost, curbs, gutters, cost is huge
- Tax credits for urban ag in County need to pass (1 vote)
  - Large empty lots that can be used
- Collaboration between parks/empty lots for gardens – tax credit to land owners
- Resources and investment need to be specifically directed to EJ neighborhoods
  - Bring grocery stores
- Improved transit access (2 votes)
- Increase farmers markets and Market Match
- Access to culturally appropriate food that accepts EBT
- New/immediate funding sources

### **Pollution Exposure**

Problem D1 (suggested by PER staff): Higher rates of emergency visits for asthma. (2 votes)

Problem D2 (suggested by PER staff): High rates of ozone (Entire Sacramento County)

Problem D3 (suggested by PER staff): Higher rates of diesel particulate matter. (1 vote)

Solution D1, D2, D3 (suggested by PER staff): Require use permit for child care centers. (2 votes)

Solution D1, D2, D3: Require indoor filtration for existing child care centers.

Solution D1, D2, D3: Require use permit for congregate care facilities.  
Solution D1, D2, D3: Invest in nonpolluting transportation alternatives (car share, transit, bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure). (5 votes)  
Solution: Vegetative barriers. More pollutant absorbing trees. (1 vote)  
Solution D1, D2, D3: Landscape strips between street and sidewalk.  
Solution D1, D2, D3: Road diets to slow down traffic (would encourage active transportation). (1 vote)  
Solution D1, D2, D3: Pedestrian bridges across arterials.

### **Physical Activity**

Note: Problems and solutions beyond A5 were not numbered or organized together.

Problem A1 (suggested by PER staff): Higher obesity rates (1 vote)  
Problem A2 (suggested by PER staff): Shortage of bike lanes (on street and off street). (3 votes)  
Problem A3 (suggested by PER staff): High rate of bike and pedestrian collisions (with cars).  
Solution A1, A2, A3 (suggested by PER staff): Prioritize "Complete Streets" projects for EJ Communities. Remodel streets for transit, pedestrian, and bicycle use as well as vehicles. (7 votes)  
Solution A1, A2, A3 (suggested by PER staff): Low stress bicycle lanes. Faster and heavier the traffic, the more protection for bicyclists.  
Solution A1: address speed (1 vote)  
Solution A2: more general fund more for transportation  
Solution A3: more separation from cars for bikes (1 vote)  
Problem A4: Inattentive drivers  
Solution A4: Vision Zero  
Problem A5: Unsheltered bus stations (2 votes)  
Solution A5: Cap and trade strategy.  
Problem: Lack of focus on prioritizing money to EJ communities for sidewalks, bike facilities (5 votes)

- Funding not based on community needs.

Problem: Corner of Edison and Bell Densely populated with low income people.  
Solution: Possible criteria: school routes  
Problem: Can't implement new Design Guidelines in existing neighborhoods.  
Problem: nonexistent bike facilities.  
Problem: roads are crowded and have obstacles (1 vote)  
Solution: Wider sidewalks (2 votes)  
Solution: Separation of bike lanes (1 vote)

### **Crime Prevention**

Note: Solutions beyond F2 were not numbered or organized together to associate with a problem.

Problem F1 (suggested by PER staff): Three violent crime "hot spots"  
Problem F2 (suggested by PER staff): High density of businesses with liquor licenses. (1 vote)

Solution F1, F2 (suggested by PER staff): Require permits for off-sale liquor licenses (will also require existing businesses to get permits). Place limits on single serving containers. (7 votes)

Problem F3: Fast food restaurants and associated crime. (1 vote)

Problem F4: Smoking near buildings (tobacco, Marijuana)

Problem F5: Property crime (2 votes)

Solution: Safe streets

Solution: Transportation plan

Solution: Defined criteria for PCN.

Solution: Community police alternatives.