



MINUTES

Design Review Advisory Committee

December 10, 2020 **11:00 – 1:00 p.m.**

Office of Planning and Environmental Review

Sacramento County – Old Administration Building

This Meeting Was Held Via Skype

The meeting facility is accessible to persons with disabilities. Requests for interpreting services, assistive listening devices, or other considerations should be made through the County Community Development Department at (916) 874-5160 or 874-7647 (TTY), no later than five working days prior to the meeting. California Relay Service (CRS) is a 3rd party interpretation service for deaf, hard-of-hearing, and/or speech-impaired persons. CRS can be reached by dialing 711 or 1-800-735-2929.

Members Present: Bruce Monighan, Dan Richards, Gennifer Munoz

Staff: Paul Gumbinger, Michael Wall, Lauren Haas, David Oulrey, Desirae Fox, Bilegt Baatar, Nishant Seoni

A.	Review of Pending Projects
1.	<p><u>PLNP2020-00160 – Metro Air Park Lot 18 Tentative Parcel Map</u> Action: The DRAC recommended (3 Yes, 0 No, 0 Absent) that the final hearing body find the project in substantial compliance with the Countywide Design Guidelines.</p>
2.	<p><u>PLNP2019-00301 – Fair Oaks Office Building</u> Action: The DRAC recommended (3 Yes, 0 No, 0 Absent) that the final hearing body find the project in substantial compliance with the Countywide Design Guidelines, with the condition that a masonry wall be provided along the southern property line to separate the proposed use from the existing multifamily use to the south.</p>
3.	<p><u>PLNP2020-00020 – 7775 Stockton Boulevard Retail Development</u> Action: The DRAC recommended (3 Yes, 0 No, 0 Absent) that the final hearing body find the project in substantial compliance with the Countywide Design Guidelines.</p>
4.	<p><u>PLNP2015-00033 – Immanuel Church Use Permit Amendment</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The DRAC expressed concern that the proposed addition lacked articulation and variety in colors and materials used.• The DRAC felt the building was too boxy, warehouse-like, and did not meet the Countywide Design Guidelines for places of worship.• A member of the DRAC stated that the roof slope on the addition does not fit with the existing building and the church entrance is out of scale with the building.

	Action: The DRAC recommended (3 Yes, 0 No, 0 Absent) that the project return to a future DRAC meeting once the project has been re-designed to address the above comments and to better meet the Countywide Design Guidelines.
--	--

B.	Miscellaneous
C.	Report Back on Previous Reviews
D.	Report on Projects To Come
E.	Other Business
F.	Reports from Staff