



# MINUTES

## Design Review Advisory Committee

May 28, 2020 **11:00 – 1:00 p.m.**

Office of Planning and Environmental Review

Sacramento County – Old Administration Building

This Meeting Was Held Via Skype

The meeting facility is accessible to persons with disabilities. Requests for interpreting services, assistive listening devices, or other considerations should be made through the County Community Development Department at (916) 874-5160 or 874-7647 (TTY), no later than five working days prior to the meeting. California Relay Service (CRS) is a 3<sup>rd</sup> party interpretation service for deaf, hard-of-hearing, and/or speech-impaired persons. CRS can be reached by dialing 711 or 1-800-735-2929.

**Members Present:** Bruce Monighan, Jennifer Krauter, Dan Richards

**Staff:** Paul Gumbinger, Michael Wall, Lauren Haas, Emma Patten, Kimber Gutierrez, Desirae Fox, Nishant Seoni

<b>A.</b>	<b>Review of Pending Projects</b>
1.	<p><b><u>PLNP2019-00276 – Gerber Walmart Fueling Station</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The DRAC indicated that the proposed convenience store was inconsistent with the existing Walmart building in the shopping center. The applicant explained that the existing Walmart building would be painted in the future to match the match the colors of the proposed convenience store.</li> <li>The DRAC felt the proposed convenience store building was boxy and did not have enough architectural interest.</li> </ul> <p>Action: The DRAC recommended (3 Yes, 0 No, 0 Absent) that the project return to the DRAC after the above comments have been addressed.</p>
2.	<p><b><u>PLNP2019-00361 – Hagood Cargo Containers</u></b></p> <p>Action: The DRAC recommended (3 Yes, 0 No, 0 Absent) that the final hearing body find the project in substantial compliance with Section 5.4.2.E. of the Countywide Design Guidelines.</p>
3.	<p><b><u>PLNP2018-00352 – Prestige Senior Care</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The DRAC commented that the left and right halves of the front building elevation do not match well and require more symmetry.</li> <li>The DRAC indicated that the “porte cochere” was too high, and expressed concern that the supporting columns might be damaged because the driveway was too narrow.</li> <li>DRAC requested that the applicant explore alternative screening methods for the south property line/building elevation.</li> </ul>

Action: The DRAC recommended (3 Yes, 0 No, 0 Absent) that the final hearing body find the project in substantial compliance with the Countywide Design Guidelines, provided the above comment be addressed subject to review by the Design Review Administrator.

**4. PLNP2019-00366 – Sac Metro Church of Christ**

Action: The DRAC recommended (3 Yes, 0 No, 0 Absent) that the final hearing body find the project in substantial compliance with the Countywide Design Guidelines.

**5. PLNP2018-00031 – 7354 Gardner Avenue**

- The applicant, because of the shortness of meeting time, requested that the project return to DRAC at a future date.

Action: None taken.

<b>B.</b>	<b>Miscellaneous</b>
<b>C.</b>	<b>Report Back on Previous Reviews</b>
<b>D.</b>	<b>Report on Projects To Come</b>
<b>E.</b>	<b>Other Business</b>
<b>F.</b>	<b>Reports from Staff</b>