APPENDIX TRAN-1: PROJECT SPECIFIC VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED ANALYSIS MEMO



MEMORANDUM

| DATE: | February 23, 2024 | |
|----------|--|----------------------|
| TO: | Kari Zajac Ascent Environmental | |
| FROM: | Dave Tokarski DKS Associates | |
| SUBJECT: | Sacramento County Residential Rezones – VMT Analysis | Project # P23063-000 |
| | | |

INTRODUCTION

Ascent Environmental has contracted with DKS Associates to prepare a Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) analysis for the proposed rezoning of parcels throughout unincorporated Sacramento County from their current General Plan zoning to residential zoning. The list of parcels includes approximately 80 parcels representing nearly 235 total acres. Each parcel listed includes data including property address (if available), assessor parcel number (APN), CPAC community, current General Plan land use designation and zoning, proposed zoning designation, minimum proposed residential density, existing use (or vacancy), ownership (public or private), and proposed residential capacity by income level (lower income, moderate income, and above moderate income), and total proposed residential capacity. In addition to a spreadsheet containing the list of proposed parcels, County staff provided the project team with a GIS geodatabase locating each of the sites within the county.

PROPOSED REZONES

Table 1 shows the number of parcels identified for rezones and the number of parcels identified per Community Plan Area, as well as the unincorporated County as a whole. The table shows that a majority of the proposed units are located in the South Sacramento (41%), North Highlands (18%), and Antelope (14%) areas. All other areas combined total approximately 27% of the total potential increase in residential capacity.

Figure 1 shows the location (and site number) of each of the rezone parcels along with the extents of unincorporated communities.

TABLE 1: REZONE PARCELS BY COMMUNITY PLAN AREA

| UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITY | NUMBER OF PARCELS | TOTAL ACRES | TOTAL CAPACITY (DU) | PERCENT OF TOTAL CAPACITY |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| ANTELOPE | 4 | 29.63 | 1,037 | 14% |
| ARDEN ARCADE | 1 | 1.98 | 59 | 1% |
| CARMICHAEL/OLD FOOTHILL FARMS | 10 | 16.62 | 387 | 5% |
| FAIR OAKS | 2 | 2.89 | 85 | 1% |
| NATOMAS | 0 | 0.00 | - | 0% |
| NORTH HIGHLANDS | 10 | 42.52 | 1,359 | 18% |
| ORANGEVALE | 7 | 7.93 | 218 | 3% |
| CORDOVA | 2 | 13.90 | 556 | 7% |
| RIO LINDA/ELVERTA | 6 | 18.77 | 561 | 8% |
| SOUTH SACRAMENTO | 35 | 96.47 | 3,014 | 41% |
| VINEYARD | 2 | 4.81 | 143 | 2% |
| TOTAL REZONE SITES | 79 | 235.52 | 7,419 | 100% |



FIGURE 1: LOCATION OF REZONE PARCELS

VMT MODELING

In order to analyze the impacts of the rezones on VMT, the potential rezone parcels needed to be modified in the regional travel demand model used for VMT analysis by Sacramento County. SACOG (the Sacramento Area Council of Governments) maintains a regional activity-based model (ABM) called SACSIM19, which is used by local jurisdictions, including Sacramento County, for regional transportation impact analysis. SACOG currently maintains a base year (2016) scenario, as well as a number of future year (2027, 2035, and 2040) scenarios. Additionally, Sacramento County has had its consultants develop a "Super-Cumulative" scenario for use in major impact studies in the unincorporated County, including major development projects along Jackson Highway in the southeast portion of the County and in the Natomas area. Based on discussions with County staff, this analysis relies on this "Super-Cumulative" model for cumulative conditions.

The model uses "pseudo" parcels as its main land use input. Each "pseudo" parcel consists of a set of XY coordinates to represent the approximate center of the actual land use area, as well as other data including traffic analysis zone (TAZ), number of households, number of enrolled students at schools (primary, high school, and university), number of employees (education, food/beverage, government, industrial, medical, office, retail, service, other, and total), and other fields not directly involved in land use modifications. In addition to the parcels file, the land use inputs include a "households" file (with details for each household linked to a parent parcel) and a "persons" file (with details for each person linked to a parent household). These files are all interdependent, so changing land use includes making changes to all three of them.

In order to apply the proposed rezones at a parcel level, it was necessary to associate each parcel (polygon) identified for rezoning to an appropriate pseudo parcel (XY point) in the model. This was achieved using GIS (geographic information systems) spatial joining processes. Once the appropriate SACSIM19 parcel was identified in both the base year (2016) and super-cumulative models, then land use assumed in the model was identified in order to determine how best to apply the rezone dwelling units. In some cases, there was no model pseudo-parcel match for the identified polygon parcel. These locations had to be added to the model input.

Based on review of all rezone parcels and both the 2016 (for existing plus project) and supercumulative (cumulative plus project) models, a number of cases were identified that each would require assumptions to be made regarding the relationship between the assumed dwelling unit capacity assumed with the rezones and the data already contained in the models. These cases and assumptions made for allocating the rezone dwelling units in the model are listed below.

- Existing Plus Project
 - For sites where there are 1 or 2 dwelling units in the model, assume those dwelling units will be replaced by the County's assumed rezone units
 - For sites where there are higher dwelling units in base year SACSIM model than County's rezone units, keep the units already in the model
 - For sites where base year model has fewer dwelling units than County Rezone units, replace with County Rezone units
 - \circ For sites where there is a small amount of employment, zero out the employment
 - \circ $\;$ For sites where there is a large amount of employment, review case by case

- Cumulative Plus Project
 - For sites where there are 1 or 2 dwelling units in the model, assume those dwelling units will be replaced by the County's assumed rezone units
 - For sites where there are higher dwelling units in cumulative SACSIM model than County's rezone units, keep the units already in the model
 - \circ $\;$ For sites where there is a small amount of employment, zero out the employment
 - \circ $\;$ For sites where there is a large amount of employment, review case by case

Based on these assumptions, less than the total 7,419 total dwelling units were added to each model, as some of the rezone units were already included in each of the model land use inputs. Once the land use changes were applied to subject parcels, households, and persons in the model, the model was rerun for both Existing Plus Rezones and Super-Cumulative Plus Rezones scenarios. As with previous VMT studies prepared for Sacramento County, VMT per Capita and VMT per Employee were calculated for each TAZ and the region as a whole, as was VMT by speed bin data for the region as a whole.

VMT RESULTS

Table 2 shows the changes in regionwide VMT associated with the proposed rezones. The table summarizes both total region wide network VMT and VMT per Capita for both Existing (2016) and Super Cumulative conditions without and with the rezones, as well as the difference between the two. The table shows that region wide network VMT increases by approximately 1.2% under Existing Plus Project conditions and by approximately 0.2% under Super-Cumulative Plus Project conditions. It should be noted that network VMT reported in this table are rounded to the nearest 100. A more detailed version of this data (unrounded and divided into 5 mile per hour "speed bins") is shown in **Table 3**.

Sacramento County, in accordance with OPR (Office of Planning and Research) guidance¹ has identified an 85% threshold for residential VMT for projects within Unincorporated Sacramento County. In other words, any project whose VMT per Capita (calculated using an accepted methodology, such as using the travel demand model as done in this analysis) exceeds 85% of the regional average represents a significant impact in regard to VMT.

¹ Technical Advisory on Evaluating Transportation Impacts in CEQA, California OPR, December 2018

TABLE 2: CHANGE IN REGION WIDE VMT METRICS

| | | BASE YEAR | | SUPER-CUMULATIVE | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|------------------|----------|------------------|------------------|--------------|--|--|
| | NO PROJECT | WITH REZONEES | CHANGE | NO PROJECT | WITH REZONEES | CHANGE | | |
| REGION WIDE NETWORK VMT | 58,394,800 | 59,033,100 | +638,300 | 76,811,500 | 77,021,600 | +210,100 | | |
| % CHANGE | | | +1.1% | | | +0.3% | | |
| REGION WIDE VMT PER CAPITA | 20.20 | 20.26 | +0.06 | 18.39 | 18.39 | No change | | |
| 85% THRESHOLD | 17.17 | | | 15.63 | | | | |

Note: Network VMT rounded to the nearest 100

TABLE 3: CHANGE IN REGION WIDE VMT BY SPEED BIN

| | | BASE YEAR | | SUP | ER-CUMULATI | VE |
|------------|---------------|-----------------|------------|---------------|-----------------|----------|
| SPEED BIN | NO PROJECT | WITH REZONES | CHANGE | NO PROJECT | WITH REZONES | CHANGE |
| 0 TO 5MPH | 50,799 | 27,469 | -23,329 | 125,841 | 129,050 | +3,209 |
| 5 TO 10MPH | 200,934 | 167,696 | -33,238 | 495,852 | 514,762 | +18,909 |
| 10-15MPH | 456,721 | 423,387 | -33,334 | 821,862 | 861,363 | +39,501 |
| 15-20MPH | 5,728,177 | 5,771,262 | +43,085 | 7,658,454 | 7,682,544 | +24,089 |
| 20-25MPH | 2,460,631 | 2,593,557 | +132,927 | 3,471,090 | 3,476,845 | +5,755 |
| 25-30MPH | 3,491,580 | 3,528,941 | +37,361 | 5,433,368 | 5,531,272 | +97,904 |
| 30-35MPH | 7,185,027 | 7,277,347 | +92,321 | 10,666,252 | 10,664,753 | -1,500 |
| 35-40MPH | 6,866,787 | 6,707,873 | -158,914 | 9,791,219 | 9,801,348 | +10,129 |
| 40-45MPH | 5,504,646 | 5,218,567 | -286,079 | 6,460,529 | 6,478,169 | +17,641 |
| 45-50MPH | 3,879,665 | 3,013,793 | -865,873 | 5,423,781 | 5,451,761 | +27,980 |
| 50-55MPH | 7,268,118 | 6,077,210 | -1,190,908 | 10,335,231 | 10,306,342 | -28,890 |
| 55-60MPH | 11,253,035 | 12,038,521 | +785,486 | 12,276,140 | 12,249,091 | -27,048 |
| 60-65MPH | 2,788,265 | 4,676,265 | +1,888,001 | 2,308,016 | 2,330,235 | +22,219 |
| 65+MPH | 1,260,403 | 1,511,260 | +250,857 | 1,543,895 | 1,544,080 | +184 |
| TOTAL | 58,394,787 | 59,033,149 | +638,362 | 76,811,532 | 7,021,615 | +210,083 |

Table 4 shows the changes in VMT per Capita summarized by unincorporated community with implementation of the rezones. The table shows that under base year no project conditions, five of the ten communities have VMT per Capita 85% or less of the regional average. Of these five, four communities see a decrease in VMT per Capita with the addition of the rezones, while one (Vineyard) sees an increase the VMT per Capita that is already beyond the threshold for a VMT impact. None of the decreases result in VMT per Capita decreasing to below the 85% threshold. Under future ("Super-Cumulative") conditions, one of the ten communities (Rio Linda/ Elverta) is projected to have VMT per Capita beyond the 85% threshold without the rezones. This community sees an increase in VMT per Capita at exactly the 85% threshold, while the addition of the rezones increases VMT per Capita in this community beyond the threshold.

| | | | VMT/Capita (Sacramento County CPACs) | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| | # of | TAZs | 2 | 016 | Super-0 | Cumulative | | | |
| Sacramento County CPAC | Uninc CPAC | Changed TAZs | Base | Rezone Change | No Build | Rezone Change | | | |
| Antelope | 9 | 3 | 18.30 | 18.14 -0.16 | 16.97 | 17.13 +0.16 | | | |
| Arden Arcade | 42 | 1 | 14.45 | 14.51 +0.06 | 13.27 | 13.33 +0.06 | | | |
| Carmichael/Old Foothill Farms | 36 | 9 | 15.84 | 15.85 +0.01 | 14.74 | 14.80 +0.06 | | | |
| Fair Oaks | 17 | 1 | 17.76 | 17.71 -0.05 | 16.35 | 16.41 +0.06 | | | |
| North Highlands | 20 | 8 | 15.68 | 15.51 -0.17 | 14.68 | 14.68 +0 | | | |
| Orangevale | 16 | 5 | 17.82 | 17.80 -0.02 | 17.17 | 17.22 +0.05 | | | |
| Rancho Cordova | 32 | 2 | 17.03 | 17.16 +0.13 | 15.25 | 15.21 -0.04 | | | |
| Rio Linda/Elverta | 22 | 4 | 21.32 | 21.08 -0.24 | 19.58 | 19.75 +0.17 | | | |
| South Sacramento | 25 | 15 | 15.27 | 14.92 -0.35 | 14.22 | 14.13 -0.09 | | | |
| Vineyard | 41 | 1 | 19.68 | 20.48 +0.80 | 15.17 | 14.77 -0.40 | | | |
| Regionwide VMT | per Capita | | 20.20 | | | | | | |
| 85% of Regional | | | 17.17 | | | | | | |

TABLE 4:VMT PER CAPITA (ALL UNINCORPORATED TAZS) BY COMMUNITY PLAN AREA1

Note: **Bold** numbers represent VMT per Capita greater than 17.17 (85% of base year regionwide average) TAZ = Traffic Analysis Zone

¹ The results presented in this table are inclusive of three candidate rezone sites (in the South Sacramento community) that are no longer part of the project.

Table 5 shows the changes in VMT per Capita summarized by each of the four EIR's that have been certified by Sacramento County in previous years, including the North Watt Corridor Plan, The Old Florin Town SPA, the Fair Oaks Boulevard Corridor Plan, and the remaining area of the Sacramento County General Plan. The table shows that the rezone sites in each of the areas above covered by a previous EIR have VMT per Capita less than the 85% threshold and although the North Watt Corridor sites show a slight increase in VMT per Capita under Super-Cumulative conditions, none of the sites show VMT per Capita increases that would put them above the threshold.

| | | _ | VMT/Capita (Sacramento County CPACs) | | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------|--------|--------------------------------------|--------|------------------|--------|--|--|
| | Number | Number | 2 | 016 | Super-Cumulative | | | |
| Sacramento | Of | Of | Base | Rezone | No | Rezone | | |
| County CPAC | Sites | DU | | Change | Bulla | Change | | |
| North Watt | F | 725 | 15 20 | 13.42 | 14 61 | 14.64 | | |
| Corridor Plan EIR | 5 | /35 | 15.59 | -1.97 | 14.01 | +0.04 | | |
| Old Florin Town | 7 | 777 | 12.00 | 11.73 | 10.65 | 12.29 | | |
| SPA EIR | / | /// | 13.90 | -2.17 | 12.05 | -0.36 | | |
| Coir Ooko Blud EIB | 1 | 37 | 14.64 | 14.25 | 13.46 | 13.40 | | |
| Fair Oaks Biva EIK | | | | -0.39 | | -0.06 | | |
| Pompindor CD EID | 66 | E 070 | 16 50 | 15.86 | 15 61 | 15.08 | | |
| Remainder GP EIR | 00 | 3,870 | 10.59 | -0.73 | 13.01 | -0.52 | | |
| All Dozono Sitos | 70 | 7 410 | 16 10 | 15.17 | 15 10 | 14.74 | | |
| All Rezone Sites | 79 | 7,419 | 10.10 | -1.01 | 15.19 | -0.45 | | |
| Regionwide VMT per | r Capita | | 20.20 | | | | | |
| 85% of Regional | | | 17.17 | | | | | |

TABLE 5: VMT PER CAPITA FOR REZONE SITES WITHIN PREVIOUS EIRS

Note: **Bold** numbers represent VMT per Capita greater than 17.17 (85% of base year regionwide average)

TAZ = Traffic Analysis Zone

Table 6 summarizes the number of rezone dwelling units that meet the 85% threshold (nonimpact) or exceed the 85% threshold (impact). The table show that of the 7,419 total proposed units, 1,282 (or 17.3%) of the units exceed the threshold under base year conditions, while 1,119 (or 15.1%) of the units exceed the threshold under Super-Cumulative conditions.

| | | | Dwelling Unit Impacts by CPAC | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------|---------|----------------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| Sacramento | Number Of | Number of | 2010 Rezor | 5 1e | Super-Cumulative Rezone | | | | |
| County CPAC | Sites | DU | Non-Impact | Impact | Non-Impact | Impact | | | |
| Antelope | 4 | 1,037 | 687 | 350 | 826 | 211 | | | |
| Arden Arcade | 1 | 59 | 59 | - | 59 | - | | | |
| Carmichael/Old Foothill Farms | 10 | 387 | 305 | 82 | 387 | - | | | |
| Cordova | 2 | 556 | 556 | - | 556 | - | | | |
| Fair Oaks | 2 | 85 | - | 85 | 48 | 37 | | | |
| North Highlands | 10 | 1,359 | 1,359 | - | 1,359 | - | | | |
| Orangevale | 7 | 218 | 51 | 167 | 51 | 167 | | | |
| Rio Linda/Elverta | 6 | 561 | 106 | 455 | _ | 561 | | | |
| South | | | | | | | | | |
| Sacramento | 35 | 3,014 | 3,014 | - | 3,014 | - | | | |
| Vineyard | 2 | 143 | - | 143 | - | 143 | | | |
| Total | 79 | 7,419 | 6,137 | 1,282 | 6,300 | 1,119 | | | |
| Percent of Units >85% of Regional Average 17.3% 15.1% | | | | | | | | | |

TABLE 6:DWELLING UNITS IMPACTS BY COMMUNITY PLAN AREA

Note: DU = Dwelling Unit

Impact = VMT per Capita greater than 17.17 (85% of base year regionwide average)

Table 7 shows the VMT per Capita (and resultant percentage of regional average) for each of the 79 sites identified for rezone dwelling units. This table allows the County to review each site individually to determine if that site meets or exceeds the 85% threshold. Any locations that are shown in **bold** and **shaded** exceed the 85% threshold and represent a significant impact in terms of VMT. Those that are not bold or shaded meet the threshold and therefore do not represent a significant impact in terms of VMT.

In addition to the results for each site, the table also shows that the weighted average VMT per Capita for all rezone households (based on number of units per site) falls within the 85% threshold for both base year (75%) and future year (73%) conditions.

| | | | | | VMT/Capita (Rezone Locations) | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----|----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--|
| | | | | | 2016 S | | Super-Cu | mulative | |
| Rezone Location | Sacramento County CPAC | Site # | TAZ | DU | VMT per Capita | % of Region Wide | VMT per Capita | % of Region Wide | |
| 0.3 miles W of Antelope Road/Walerga Road | | 1 | 324 | 300 | 16.32 | 81% | 15.34 | 76% | |
| 0.2 miles S of Elverta Road/Walerga Road | Antelope | 2 | 1107 | 139 | 17.75 | 88% | 16.18 | 80% | |
| S Antelope Road/Elverta Road | - | 3 | 324 | 387 | 16.32 | 81% | 15.34 | 76% | |
| 370 feet N Roseville Road/Antelope Road | | 4 | 427 | 211 | 18.63 | 92% | 17.22 | 85% | |
| 2328 Edison Avenue, 2332 Edison Avenue & 2336 Edison Avenue | Arden Arcade | 5 | 378 | 59 | 12.56 | 62% | 11.86 | 59% | |
| 6344 Verner Avenue | | 6 | 433 | 54 | 17.88 | 89% | 16.69 | 83% | |
| 5804 Garfield Avenue | - | 7 | 434 | 26 | 15.60 | 77% | 14.58 | 72% | |
| 4921 Hemlock Street | - | 8 | 886 | 39 | 14.19 | 70% | 13.16 | 65% | |
| 5817 Muldrow Road | - | 9 | 405 | 13 | 15.84 | 78% | 14.46 | 72% | |
| 5108 Pasadena | Carmichael/ | 10 | 384 | 45 | 14.44 | 71% | 13.56 | 67% | |
| Avenue 8545 Fair Oaks | Old Foothill Farms | | | | | | | | |
| Boulevard | | 11 | 403 | 90 | 16.50 | 82% | 15.47 | 77% | |
| 2421 Garfield Avenue & 2413 Garfield Avenue | | 12 | 392 | 55 | 16.42 | 81% | 15.41 | 76% | |
| 5020 Arden Way | - | 13 | 365 | 7 | 18.03 | 89% | 16.61 | 82% | |
| 4845 Fair Oaks Boulevard | - | 14 | 365 | 21 | 18.03 | 89% | 16.61 | 82% | |
| 9425 Folsom | | 15 | 590 | 458 | 14.78 | 73% | 13.89 | 69% | |
| 450 feet NE Folsom | Cordova | | | | | | | | |
| Boulevard/Paseo Rio Way | | 16 | 570 | 98 | 14.47 | 72% | 13.42 | 66% | |
| 8933 Madison Avenue & 8937 Madison Avenue | Fair Oaks | 17 | 465 | 37 | 17.88 | 89% | 17.26 | 85% | |
| 4746 Sunrise Boulevard & 4742 Sunrise Boulevard | Fair Oaks | 18 | 415 | 48 | 17.37 | 86% | 15.15 | 75% | |
| NW Walerga Road/Blackjack Way | _ | 19 | 315 | 19 | 14.80 | 73% | 14.20 | 70% | |
| SW Walerga Road/Don Julio Boulevard | | 20 | 317 | 171 | 14.58 | 72% | 13.75 | 68% | |
| NW Walerga Road/Galbraith Drive | North Highlands | 21 | 321 | 100 | 15.49 | 77% | 14.87 | 74% | |
| E Roseville Road/Elkhorn Boulevard overpass | | 22 | 328 | 298 | 13.75 | 68% | 13.21 | 65% | |
| 5140 Harrison Street | | 23 | 331 | 36 | 14.64 | 72% | 13.63 | 67% | |
| 6321 Chestnut | | 24 | 455 | 65 | 18.95 | 94% | 17.19 | 85% | |
| 6245 Beech Avenue | Orangevale | 25 | 459 | 42 | 17.64 | 87% | 17.50 | 87% | |

TABLE 7:VMT PER CAPITA (REZONE PARCELS ONLY) BY SITE

| | | | | | VMT/Capita (Rezone Locations) | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | | 201 | L 6 | Super-Cu | mulative |
| Rezone Location | Sacramento County CPAC | Site # | TAZ | Rezone Dwelling Units | VMT per Capita | % of Region Wide | VMT per Capita | % of Region Wide |
| 120 feet W of Elverta Road/Bellingrath Drive | | 26 | 1411 | 34 | 18.65 | 92% | 17.64 | 87% |
| 480 feet W of Elverta Road/Bellingrath Drive | Rio Linda/Elverta | 27 | 1411 | 175 | 18.65 | 92% | 17.64 | 87% |
| 232 Elkhorn Boulevard | | 28 | 303 | 45 | 19.87 | 98% | 18.73 | 93% |
| 5919 Dry Creek Road | | 29 | 300 | 106 | 17.04 | 84% | 17.22 | 85% |
| 4541 Fruitridge Road | | 30 | 493 | 53 | 15.47 | 77% | 14.46 | 72% |
| 5903 Southwest Avenue | | 31 | 495 | 85 | 13.42 | 66% | 12.62 | 62% |
| SE 45th Avenue/Franklin Boulevard & 6301 Franklin Boulevard | - | 32 | 698 | 51 | 12.70 | 63% | 11.27 | 56% |
| 4001 48th Avenue | | 33 | 696 | 105 | 13.16 | 65% | 12.84 | 64% |
| NE 49th Avenue/Wesley Avenue | | 34 | 696 | 41 | 13.16 | 65% | 12.84 | 64% |
| 240 feet W of 47th Avenue/Stockton Boulevard | | 35 | 497 | 64 | 13.37 | 66% | 13.31 | 66% |
| 180 feet SE of Franklin Boulevard/ Meadowgate Drive | | 36 | 700 | 42 | 10.74 | 53% | 10.80 | 53% |
| 7236 E Parkway | | 37 | 701 | 62 | 15.81 | 78% | 15.44 | 76% |
| 630 feet W of 66th Avenue/Stockton Boulevard | South Sacramento | 38 | 1160 | 175 | 14.05 | 70% | 14.02 | 69% |
| 6130 Orange Avenue | | 39 | 1160 | 70 | 14.05 | 70% | 14.02 | 69% |
| 6707 Stacy Avenue | | 40 | 503 | 33 | 16.33 | 81% | 15.18 | 75% |
| NE Stockton Boulevard/Walter Avenue | | 41 | 898 | 67 | 13.14 | 65% | 12.41 | 61% |
| 7525 Power Inn Road & 7521 Power Inn Road | | 42 | 510 | 42 | 13.16 | 65% | 12.51 | 62% |
| NE Stockton Boulevard/ Whitewillow Drive | | 43 | 898 | 128 | 13.14 | 65% | 12.41 | 61% |
| 7604 Elsie Avenue | | 44 | 914 | 18 | 15.91 | 79% | 16.06 | 80% |
| 7825 Robinette Road | | 45 | 914 | 123 | 15.91 | 79% | 16.06 | 80% |
| SE Elsie Avenue/Power Inn Road | | 46 | 914 | 26 | 15.91 | 79% | 16.06 | 80% |
| SW Elsie Avenue/Iona Way | | 47 | 914 | 10 | 15.91 | 79% | 16.06 | 80% |

TABLE 7: (CONTINUED): VMT PER CAPITA (REZONE PARCELS ONLY) BY SITE

| | | | | | VMT/Capita (Rezone Locations) | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------|------|-------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|---------|----------------|
| | | | | | 2016 Super-Cumula | | | mulative |
| | Sacramento | | | Rezone | | % of | | % of |
| Rezone | County | Site # | τΔ7 | Dwelling Units | VMT per Canita | Region Wide | VMT per | Region Wide |
| 140 feet W of Elsie | CFAC | # | | <u> </u> | Capita | Taal | Capita | Wide |
| Avenue/Iona Way | | 48 | 914 | 12 | 15.91 | 79% | 16.06 | 80% |
| 220 feet S of Elsie | - | 49 | 914 | 14 | 15 91 | 79% | 16.06 | 80% |
| Avenue/Iona Way | - | | 714 | 14 | 15.51 | 7 5 70 | 10.00 | 00 /0 |
| 350 feet S of Elsie | | 50 | 914 | 28 | 15.91 | 79% | 16.06 | 80% |
| 7901 Stevenson | - | | | | | | | |
| Avenue | | 51 | 914 | 195 | 15.91 | 79% | 16.06 | 80% |
| 7516 Rangeview Lane | - | 52 | 914 | 279 | 15.91 | 79% | 16.06 | 80% |
| 8016 Stevenson | South | | | | | | | |
| Avenue & 540 feet S | Sacramento | 53 | 1125 | 70 | 15.46 | 770/2 | 14 25 | 710/2 |
| of Stevenson | | 55 | 1125 | 75 | 15.40 | ////0 | 14.25 | 7170 |
| Avenue/Power Inn Rd | - | | | | | | | |
| Road/Power Inn Road | | 54 | 914 | 61 | 15.91 | 79% | 16.06 | 80% |
| 7222 Elsie Avenue | - | 55 | 503 | 145 | 16 33 | 81% | 15.18 | 75% |
| 8207 Elk Grove Florin | | | 505 | 115 | 10100 | 01/0 | 15110 | 7370 |
| Road | | 56 | 1127 | 72 | 20.30 | 100% | 17.46 | 86% |
| 8225 Elk Grove Florin | Vineyard | 57 | 1127 | 71 | 20 30 | 100% | 17.46 | 86% |
| Road | | 57 | 1127 | /1 | 20.50 | 100 /0 | 17.40 | 00 /0 |
| NE Stockton | South | го | 000 | 00 | 12.14 | | 12 41 | C10/ |
| Avenue | | 20 | 898 | 90 | 13.14 | 05% | 12.41 | 61% |
| 8095 E Stockton | Sacramento | | | | | | | |
| Boulevard & 8099 E | | 59 | 1125 | 139 | 15.46 | 77% | 14.25 | 71% |
| Stockton Boulevard | | | | | | | | |
| 8553 Greenback Lane | _ | 60 | 453 | 10 | 15.10 | 75% | 15.50 | 77% |
| NE Greenback | | 61 | 453 | 6 | 15 10 | 75% | 15 50 | 77% |
| Lane/Kenneth Avenue | - | | 155 | 0 | 15.10 | 7570 | 15.50 | ,,,,, |
| 300 feet E of Greenback | | 62 | 150 | Q | 17.64 | 97 % | 17 50 | 870/2 |
| Lane/Almond Avenue | Orangevale | 02 | 433 | 0 | 17.04 | 07 70 | 17.50 | 67 70 |
| NW Beech | - | | | | | | | |
| Avenue/Greenback | | 63 | 459 | 52 | 17.64 | 87 % | 17.50 | 87% |
| Lane | - | | | | | | | |
| 8646 Greenback Lane | | 64 | 461 | 35 | 17.15 | 85% | 16.44 | 81% |
| 970 Oak Lane | Rio | 65 | 302 | 111 | 20.04 | 99% | 18.92 | 94% |
| 864 Oak Lane | Linda/Elverta | 66 | 302 | 90 | 20.04 | 99% | 18.92 | 94% |
| 7004 Eair Oako | Carmichael/ | | | | | | | |
| Boulevard | Old Foothill | 67 | 397 | 37 | 14.25 | 71% | 13.40 | 66% |
| | Farms ¹ | | | | | | | |
| Avenue / O Street | | 68 | 320 | 122 | 13.65 | 68% | 15.14 | 75% |
| | - | 69 | 320 | 184 | 13.65 | 68% | 15 14 | 75% |
| 0.2 miles S Watt | - | | 520 | 104 | 13.05 | 0070 | 13.17 | , , , , , , |
| Avenue/Elkhorn Blvd | North | 70 | 316 | 154 | 13.11 | 65% | 14.45 | 72% |
| 0.1 miles N Watt | Highlands | 71 | 316 | 100 | 13 11 | 65% | 1/1/15 | 720/2 |
| Avenue/I Street | - | /1 | 210 | 190 | 12.11 | 03% | 14.40 | 1270 |
| 6233 Watt Avenue | | 72 | 318 | 85 | 13.86 | 69% | 13.65 | 68% |

TABLE 7: (CONTINUED): VMT PER CAPITA (REZONE PARCELS ONLY) BY SITE

Note 1: Within Fair Oaks Blvd Corridor Plan EIR Area

Note ²: Within North Watt Avenue Corridor Plan EIR Area

| | | | | | VMT/Capita (Rezone Locations) | | | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | | 201 | L 6 | Super-Cu | mulative |
| Rezone Location | Sacramento County CPAC | Site # | TAZ | Rezone Dwelling Units | VMT per Capita | % of Region Wide | VMT per Capita | % of Region Wide |
| 8149 Florin Road | | 73 | 512 | 84 | 10.43 | 52% | 12.09 | 60% |
| 8165 Florin Road | | 74 | 512 | 141 | 10.43 | 52% | 12.09 | 60% |
| 90 feet N of Augusta Way/Bacchini Avenue | | 75 | 512 | 72 | 10.43 | 52% | 12.09 | 60% |
| 430 feet N of McCurdy Lane/Florin Road | South | 76 | 512 | 141 | 10.43 | 52% | 12.09 | 60% |
| SE Power Inn Road/Florin Road | Sacramento ³ | 77 | 510 | 174 | 13.16 | 65% | 12.51 | 62% |
| 180 feet E of Florin Road/Kara Drive | - | 78 | 510 | 90 | 13.16 | 65% | 12.51 | 62% |
| 8475 Florin Road & 180 feet S of Florin Road/Simon Street | | 79 | 1185 | 75 | 14.28 | 71% | 12.69 | 63% |
| Weighted Average VMT per Capita 7,419 15.17 75% 14.74 73% | | | | | | | | 73% |

TABLE 7: (CONTINUED): VMT PER CAPITA (REZONE PARCELS ONLY) BY SITE

Note ³: Within Old Florin Town SPA EIR Area

Note: DU = Dwelling Unit

Bold and **shaded** represent VMT Impact = VMT per Capita greater than 17.17 (85% of base year regionwide average)

TAZ = Traffic Analysis Zone